THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8018 of 2007

Dated: 19.04.2007

Between:

Sri Pushpagiri Jagadguru Sankaracharya Maha Samsthanam,
Pushpagiri, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The District Collector, Vijayanagaram District, and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8018 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner *math* is allegedly owner of land admeasuring Acs.1452.55 comprised in survey Nos.1 to 338/5 situated at Lingamguntla Village, Narasaraopet Mandal, Guntur District. The petitioner also claims to be owner of another extent of land admeasuring Acs.225.47 situated at Nujendla Village, Nadendla Mandal, Guntur District. It is the case of the petitioner that these lands were granted to it by Inam Commissioner vide title deed No.1209 dated 02.02.1861 and title deed No.1137 dated 22.12.1862 respectively and that the Supreme Court also declared in Civil Appeal No.280(N) of 1971 on 23.04.1986 that the land in Lingamguntla Village is not estate and that the petitioner is owner of the land. On these allegations the petitioner requested the respondents 2 and 3 for issue of certified copies of revenue records, namely, Adangal and 10(1) account. Alleging that action was not taken on the application of the petitioner, instant writ petition is filed seeking a declaration and direction for issue of revenue records.

At the stage of admission itself, the learned Assistant Government Pleader has obtained written instructions. He submits that Lingamguntla Village is agraharam Village and the same has not been converted as seri Village and therefore, the question of supply of 10(1) account does not arise, insofar as agraharam is concerned. He submits that as the petitioner did not pay requisite fee, action was not taken on the application. Therefore, this Court holds that the petitioner has approached this Court without complying with the requirements for obtaining certified copies. If so advised, the petitioner may approach respondents 2 and 3. In this writ petition, no direction can be issued. The writ petition is misconceived and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

19th April, 2007

ghn